## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent A	pplication of:	)		
Rand	B. REYNOLDS	)	Docket:	7655
Serial No.: 09	0/239,918	)		
Filed: Januar	y 29, 1999	)	Art Unit:	3628
ву а С	OF-PURCHASE ADVERTISING ANTILEVERED DISPLAY	)	Examiner:	Unknown
МЕСНА МЕТНО	ANISM AND RELATED  DDS	) .		

## RELATED ART/INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

The Applicant does not believe that the related art set forth on the accompanying PTO-1449 forms is particularly germane and certainly such does not anticipate or make obvious the invention of the above-identified application.

To the best of the recollection of the Applicant and the undersigned, neither is aware of any further patent or publication which might be deemed relevant to the claimed subject matter.

Furthermore, it should also be made of record that no exhaustive effort has been undertaken to locate, either on the part of the Applicant or the undersigned, any patent or publication which

Lynn G. Foste

might in the past have been familiar to the Applicant or the undersigned and which may be contained in the files and/or among records concerning which neither the Applicant nor the undersigned has present recollection.

Restated, so far as the undersigned and the Applicant are able to presently recall, neither is aware of any related art patents or publications believed to be germane in any way to the above-identified application other than the patents mentioned below and said patents are only of general interest.

Point-of-purchase advertising in grocery stores and similar businesses has existed for a very long time. A number of advertising techniques have been employed with the goal of enhancing the sales volume of advertised products. Some of the advertising techniques of the past, which target a specific product, have had little impact on sales, while others have caused a measurable increase in sales. Most sales increases in the past have been modest. Thus, the quest has continued for better ways to enhance sales of a given product, among many other available products, offered along a shopping aisle in a retail store.

Typically, the manufacturer of a product, which is to receive target point-of-purchase advertising in a retail store, places or contracts for the placement of the advertising adjacent to the product, stored on a shelf, in a refrigerated cabinet or on a rack. The costs of such advertising must be balanced against the increase in sales of the product, if any, which is the subject of the target advertising. Key factors in respect to such point-of-purchase advertising are: (1) the extent to which the attention of prospective customers is attracted directly and meaningfully to the product receiving the target advertising over and above other available products; (2) the comparative cost of the advertised product; and (3) the cost and convenience of installing, maintaining and varying the target

advertising. Most prior art point-of-purchase display devices have not produced a large increase in sales.

Until recently, the prior art of point-of-purchase advertising displays have sometimes comprised rigid and static displays supported by a shelf such that the advertising thereof faces the aisle while being generally flush with the shelf. Such signs are known to sometimes provide illumination, but such illumination is obscure because it is directed transversely into the aisle from difficult to observe locations between shelves which contain goods in the form of stacked cans or packages, for example. One must be in the aisle directly in front of the display in order to effectively see the illumination. Also, the advertising indicia of the sign is parallel to the aisle, requiring the potential purchaser to be directly in front of the sign in order to read and understand the advertising indicia. See U.S. 3,015,177 and U.S. 4,924,363 for examples.

As an alternative, the earlier prior art teaches use of a non-illuminating deflectable display assembly which is mounted in cantilevered fashion to extend transversely into a shopping aisle. See U.S. 4,805,331. A top eccentric torsion spring biases the display assembly toward the neutral position counter to any displacement by a shopping cart, for example. This type of sign does not adequately attract the singular attention of potential buyers.

In addition, it is known to provide an illuminated deflectable display mechanism which comprises a permanent cantilevered frame indirectly mounted to shelf molding in which one or more advertising cards are inserted. See U.S. 5,111,606 and U.S. 5,233,773, each issued to one of the present inventors. While the advertising cards are removable and replaceable, the overall configuration and nature of the advertising region is fixed. It is, therefore, impossible to vary the peripheral limits and the basic nature of advertising, though card content may vary. A

deflection-accommodating spring or other return is connected directly to the proximal part of the frame or, in the alternative, an eccentric elevated torsion spring returns the frame, after lateral deflection, to its neutral position. If a peripherally different sign is desired, the entire display assembly must be removed and replaced by something else, if available.

Prior art point-of-purchase display assemblies fail to accommodate substantial variation in the nature, the configuration, including the peripheral make-up, and the content of the advertising segment of a display assembly without requiring disconnection and removal of the entire display assembly from its mounting upon a molding at a shelf edge or on the face of a refrigerator cabinet.

Also, the nature of a centrally-disposed return spring for cantilevered display assemblies of the prior art typically requires attachment of the return spring directly to the proximal end of the frame, which frame surrounds the area of advertising. Thus, the central return spring not only biases the display assembly toward neutral, but also fully supports the weight of the cantilevered frame and the contents thereof while singularly absorbing the deflection impact of shopping carts against the frame. As a consequence, the life of the return spring is relatively short and as the spring begins to lose its resiliency or strength, the display frame may not continue to return to neutral and/or may sag.

Furthermore, provision of illumination systems for such display assemblies has posed certain problems related to size, location and access to and vandalism of sources of power comprised of batteries.

In addition, insertion, retention and removal from the peripheral frame of advertising cards has presented difficulties including but not limited to wear and tear, soiling, vandalism and unauthorized removal. Even a cursory review of Griswold U.S. Patent No. 1,760,270 reveals that it is highly nonanalogous with respect to the present invention. Griswold discusses an invention

relating to a "semaphore or signal post or lamp post" and more particularly to a "traffic signal post."

All of the structure, design, and operation of the Griswold device relates to the contingency where

a vehicle strikes the post and the vehicle will not be greatly damaged. (See Column 1, lines 12-15).

There is nothing to teach or suggest the problems associated with providing a point-of-purchase

display that extends into an aisle and must deal with the problems of articulation when struck by a

person or a shopping cart.

Garfinkle (U.S. 4,881,706), Levine (U.S. 4,909,464), Blansky (U.S. 2,787,433) and Slavsky

(U.S. 3,041,760) contain disclosures similar to Boggess (U.S. 4,805,331). The remaining PTO 1449

references are viewed as being of general interest.

While the Applicant and the undersigned attorney believe PTO-1449 patents and publications

are of general interest only, it is respectfully requested the Examiner make his or her own

independent search and review to determine for himself or herself the extent to which the cited

publications and enclosed patents and any others located in the search are deemed to be relevant, if

at all, to the presently claimed invention of the above-identified application.

Respectfully submitted,

602 East 300 South

Salt Lake City, Utah 84102

Telephone: (801) 364-5633

D:\WPDOCS\LYNN\REYNOLDS\7655DISC.STM

-5

W.I. C. AINTHE OF CHAMPACE 4117, 001.Af : NO. BAL HO. 1000 P19.146 109/239,918 7655 APPLICANT ART CITED BY APPLICANT LIST OF Randy B. Reynolds (Use several shoets it nacassary) Jan. 28, 1999 3628 U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS IF APPROPRIATE CL A11 SUECLASS -EXAMPLE BATE Raymond AA 10-06-48 40/132R Shine 01-13-248 221.4 AC OF 11-21-Garfinkle 40 564 03-02-Sernovitz AD 225.1 248 Levine 03-20-AZ 40 564 Diceglie 06-27-AP 132 40 Guyer et. al. 04-09-40 . 10 07-03-52 Slavsky HA Wallace AI 40 11 A J 01-01-53 Slavsky 152.2 40 Glucksman et. al. AX 04-11-89 FOREIGH PATENT DOCUMENTS TRANSLATION CLASS SUBCLASS COUNTRY DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE YES 291 248 AL 4 9 7 8 6 5/1930 Germany; Potter AM AH AO AP OTHER PRIOR ART (Inchaling Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.) Computerized P-O-P Signs Provide for Instant Changes of Promotions; July-August 1994, Technology. A S A T DATE CONSIDERED EXAMINER \*EXAMINER: Initial if reference ceneldered, whether or net citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if net

In conformance and not considered, Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

U10044.00 17-1111

														fibert 4	47	4
fame fig.14d ings. fied					The set respendent and the set of desired of the set of desired of the set of desired of the set of				0 1148 441 449 19	410	ATT COMMENCE	7655	1	09/239	9,918	
Li	LIST OF ART CITE							e i	ID BY APPLICANT			Randy B.	Reynol	da		
(Use several charts											CELIES CATE		3628	,		
				_				6			U.S. PATENT	Jan. 28, 19	99	3020	)	
CEAMMEN HITTAL		000	CV!	- 4 7	. 1		100	i A	DATE			MARK	CLASS	SUPCLASS	.01L18	S-MAIF.
	AA	4	4	2	19	3	6	3	05-08-9	0	Kornels	on	362	125		
	A	2	5	4	1	3	0	3	02-13-5	1	Smith		40	126		
:	AC					ich	D96	12R								
	AD	Tall balance as as siege				Glass e		273	157							
	AE					Boggess	et. al.	40	651							
	AP	1	_			_	-	•	04-02-	_	Slavsky		248	204		
	AG								02-16-		Luck et	. al.	40	130		
	·AH								01-15-		Belokin	, Jr. et. al.	211	88		
	AI	<u> </u>	8	_		ŧ-	t-	1-	12-24-	1-	Seegers		24	73		
	AJ	├	0	-	├	+	F-	ŀ		1	Schmidt		40	152.2		
	AK	5	۲	1	F	F	+-	H		1	Reynold	<del>,</del>	40	642		
·		<u> </u>	1	1	Ľ	16	1	1	103-12-	1		NT DOCUMENTS	<u></u>	<del></del>		
	·									T		HTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	TRANSL	ATION
		000	CUI	<b>4 E</b> )	4 7	M CT	4,01	ER.	DATE	L					YES	NO
	AL			Γ	Γ	Γ	Γ	Γ					<u> </u>			
<del></del>	AM		Γ	Γ		Γ	T	Γ		1						
	АН				T	T	T	T					<u>.</u>			
	AO		1		t	T	t	1	<u> </u>	1			1	•		
	AP	-	╁	$\vdash$	t	t	t	t		T		•				
	L	ـــــا		Ł_	ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ		L TH	L	PRIOR AR	T (1)	ne hallne Author	, Title, Date, Pertisent P	ngen, Etc.)			
	·	Γ_	Γ													
	AR	-	-								<del></del>					,
		-	-						<u> </u>					_		
	AS	_	igdash											_ <del></del>		
		_	_									<u> </u>				
	AT													<u></u>		
SHIKKES	A											DATE CONSIDERED				
												Is in conformance with M				

1112744.26 +7-12+1

79.3

: ·.

, AO ALLY. GO. 1984 F19-164 09/239,918 7655 THADILY TA OPTIO THA LIST OF Randy B: Reynolds (Use paveral shouls if necessary) Jan. 28, 1999 3628 U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS FILING DATE SU OCLASS MAME ENAMNER JATTEL 7,7/3 8/1993 40 152.2X Reynolds 363903-08-D96 12R Escalante 21818121806-14-40 1300 Chao et. al. AC 152.2 40 Kuczewski de Poray 601-04-AO 40 5 Ramsay 07-28-40 64 10-06-\$3 Solomon AF 40 . 142 10-10-Pittman 2 AG Thayer 04-11-HA 03-28-Even AI 231.21 X 248 5 1/196 Hembd et al. AJ 3 AX 248 231.21 6/196 5 Guyer, Jr., et al. FOREIGH PATENT DOCUMENTS TRANSLATION SUBCLASS CLASS COUNTRY DOCUMENT NUMBER YES AL AM HA AO AP OTHER PRIOR ART (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.) AR AS AT DATE CONSIDERED **EZHIHER** \*EXAMINER: Initial If reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with UPEP 507; Draw line through citation if not

9143HH.2C 13-11+#

" The property of the same

In conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Form PTO-1449

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTY. DOCKET NO. 7655 SERIAL NO. 09/239,918

## LIST OF ART CITED BY APPLICANT

(Use several sheets if necessary)

Randy B. Reynolds

FILING DATE:
January 28, 1999

APPLICANT:

**GROUP 3628** 

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

				U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS				
*Examiner Initial		Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Fil If A	ling Date Appropriate
·	AA	4,991,338	2/1991	Jones	40	642X		*
·	АВ	1,367,830	2/1921	Poole	40	608		
	AC	1,443,204	1/1923	Bernstein	40	608		
	AD	1,760,270	5/1930	Griswold	40	608		
	AE	1,903,683	4/1933	Nute	40	608		
	AF	5,083,765	1/1992	Kringel	271	118		
	AG							
	AH							
	AI						•	
	AJ							
	AK							<del></del>
				FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS				
		Document Number	Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Tran	slation
	AL						Yes	No
	AM						<del></del>	
	AN							
	AO							
	AP		1					
		ОТН	IER RELATED A	RT (including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.	) —	<del> </del>		
	1							
	2					···		
			<del></del>			····		
i	3	1						- 11

EXAMINER

DATE CONSIDERED

\*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

					Application No.		eynolds		
		Notice of Refere	nces Cited		Examiner Silberni		Group Art Unit	~   0	e/_ of
				U.S. PATI	ENT DOCUMENTS	3		<del></del>	<del></del>
*		DOCUMENT NO.	DATE			NAME		CLASS	SUBCLASS
	Α	5729924	3,1998	Readi	na			40	564
	В		0.1995	Gose	rud			40	77/x
	С		7.1939	Hood	'			40	608
	D		7.1996	Cala				24	T
Γ	E		2,1999	Dal	ton exa	D		40	1
		4872636	0.1989	Mitte	elhäuser	- ela	<u> </u>	248	549x
			7.1988		ehead of	1 a O.		248	483
	3		7,1990	Boed	'ng			.1	642,02x
	1		0,1995	Fowle	er et a	l		40	661,03x
	٦			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	κ			·				<del> </del>	
	L							ļ	_
	М							<u> </u>	l
			<del>-</del>		ATENT DOCUMEN			1	T = 1,00
*		DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	COU	NTRY		NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS
	N								
	0							-	
	Р								
	Q							-	
	R					·····		<del> </del>	
	s							<del> </del>	
	T					^		<u>J</u>	<u> </u>
	<del></del>				ENT DOCUMENT			Т	DATE
*		DO	CUMENT (Includi	ng Author, Titl	e, Source, and Pe	minent Pages)			
	U								
	V								
	w								
	×								

\*A copy of this reference is not being funished with this Office action. (S e Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 707.05(a).)

					Application No. 969397	Applicant(s) Regreelds estal.				
		Notice of Refe	erences Cited		THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER.	Ke.	grapids	a as	A.,	
					Examiner Silberragni		Group Art Unit	Pag	e	
				U.S. PAT	ENT DOCUMENTS					
*		DOCUMENT NO.	DATE		NAME			CLASS	SUBCLASS	
Г	A	6012244	1-2000	Begu	im et of.			40	Gle1,03	
Γ	В	1356892	10-1920		ens			40	7760	
H	С									
一	D					***				
一	Ε									
r	F		, i							
H	G									
Г	Н									
	ı									
	J									
Г	к									
	L				V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V					
_	М									
			-	FOREIGN P	ATENT DOCUMENTS					
*		DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	cou	NTRY	NAME		CLASS	SUBCLASS	
	N						·			
	0									
	Р									
	Q									
	R									
	s									
	Т					_				
				NON-PAT	ENT DOCUMENTS	<u> </u>				
*			DOCUMENT (Includir	ng Author, Titl	e, Source, and Pertinent	Pages)		.# 18	DATE	
	$\overline{}$									
	U		under State (State State							
	υ									
	U									
	v	·								
	v									

\*A copy of this reference is not being funished with this Office action. (See Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 707.05(a).)

Part of Paper No.